

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/763,515	02/23/2001	Tetsuro Wada	1900/00021	8620
7	590 05/05/2005		EXAM	INER
Morris Liss		GRAY, JILL M		
Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz PO Box 19088			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20036-3425			1774	
			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/763,515	WADA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jill M. Gray	1774				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	<u> December 2004</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims ·						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-27</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-27</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-21</u> is/are rejected.						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)		(070 440)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/	08) 5) Notice of Inform	al Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	6)					
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Action Summary Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050502						
fic-						

Application/Control Number: 09/763,515

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The rejection of claims 1-7 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph is moot in view of applicants amendments.

The rejection of claims 2-3 and 6-7 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph is moot in view of applicants amendments.

The rejection of claims 1-9 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mayer 4,301,428 is most in view of applicants amendments.

The rejection of claims 1 and 5 under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sandor et al, 6, 127,028 is most in view of applicants amendments.

The provision rejection of claim 1 under under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting is most in view of applicants amendments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 10-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

More specifically, claims 10 and 13 are indefinite because the language of "a cover member made of a nonmetal material to cover said core member" does not provide a clear positive recitation of the structural relationship of the cover member and core member. In particular, the do not require that the core member is covered.

Accordingly, the metes and bounds for which patent protection is being sought are not clear.

Claims 11-12, and14-15 is indefinite for the reasons stated above. In addition, the language in these claims of "disposed to be in contact with said core member" is vague and does not clearly describe the structural relationship of the member or thermal welding thread. It is not clear how this component is "in contact with" the core member. Accordingly, the metes and bounds for which patent protection is being sought are not clear.

Claims 16-21 are indefinite for the reasons stated above, because these claims do not clarify or further define the structural relationship of the core member, member or thermal welding thread and cover member.

Claims 10-15 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claims 22-27 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jill M. Gray whose telephone number is 571-272-1524. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:30-7:00.

Art Unit: 1774

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ėxaminer Art Unit 1774

jmg